



**Testing, Evaluation and Research Services
Faculty Individual Report**
(Page 1 of 2)

As Of: Oct 24, 2017
Time: 3:51:17 PM
Report ID: SET002

College of Liberal Arts and Sciences Summer 2017

Instructor: Cadwell Shelby

Course : APX500 Call No. 34116

Students Responding: 17 out of 19

SUMMARY COURSE EVALUATION

	R A T I N G S			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
	Mn.	Md.	SD	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	N/A (A)	U/A (B)
	How would you rate this course?	4.4	5	0.9	9	6	1	1	0	0
How much have you learned in this course?	4.2	5	1.3	8	1	2	0	1	0	0
				Great Deal	A lot	Moderate	Little	Nothing	N/A	U/A
				Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	U/A
How would you rate the instructor's teaching in this course?	4.7	5	0.8	14	2	0	1	0	0	0
MEAN SUMMATIVE CLASS RATING	13.3		1.0							

STUDENT PROFILE

	R A T I N G S			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES				
	Mn.	Md.	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
	Before enrolling I had an interest in the subject matter of this course. I wanted to take this course.	3.7	4	0.7	2	8	7	0
	3.5	3	0.8	2	6	8	1	0
MEAN SUMMATIVE INTEREST RATING	7.2		0.7					

	Required	Elective			
The course for me was:.....	17	0			
	< 60 %	60 - 69 %	70-79 %	80 - 89 %	90 - 100 %
I attended approximately this percentage of class meetings:.....	0	0	0	1	16
	A	B	C	D	Other
I expect a final grade in this course of :.....	12	5	0	0	0

Mn = Mean; Md = Median; SD = Standard Deviation
N/A = Not Applicable; U/A = Unable to Answer



Testing, Evaluation and Research Services
Faculty Individual Report
 (Page 2 of 2)

As Of: Oct 24, 2017
 Time: 3:51:17 PM
 Report ID: SET002

Instructor: Cadwell Shelby

Course : APX500 Call No. 34116

Students Responding: 17 out of 19

INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK-DIAGNOSTICS				R A T I N G S			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
Organization/Clarity				Mn.	Md.	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	N/A (A)	U/A (B)
To me, The instructor was clear and understandable in speaking and in written materials.				4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Class sessions helped me understand the course content.				4.8	5	0.6	14	2	1	0	0	0	0
I felt that the course was well organized.				4.6	5	0.7	9	3	1	0	0	0	0
Instructor Enthusiasm													
To me, the instructor seemed enthusiastic about the subject.				4.8	5	0.5	15	1	1	0	0	0	0
The instructor encouraged me to do high quality work in this course.				4.8	5	0.5	14	1	1	0	0	0	0
Group Interaction													
I felt free to ask questions in this course.				4.9	5	0.3	14	1	0	0	0	0	0
I felt encouraged to be an active participants.				4.9	5	0.3	14	2	0	0	0	0	0
Individual Rapport													
The instructor was available to me electronically or in person.				4.8	5	0.8	15	1	0	1	0	0	0
I felt that the instructor treated all students in the class with respect.				4.9	5	0.3	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Breadth of Coverage													
I felt the instructor had good knowledge of the course content.				4.9	5	0.3	14	2	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor discussed differing views about the material appropriate to the content.				4.8	5	0.6	14	2	1	0	0	0	0
Examinations/Grading													
Class expectations, requirements, and grading were explained in the syllabus and in class near the beginning of the course.				4.7	5	0.6	13	3	1	0	0	0	0
I felt that evaluation and grading were fair.				4.8	5	0.4	14	3	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor provided feedback on my performance within the time frame noted in the syllabus.				4.9	5	0.2	15	1	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor's feedback on my work was helpful.				4.9	5	0.2	15	1	0	0	0	0	0
Assignments/Readings													
Course assignments (e.g., reading or those activities) contributed to my learning in this class.				4.7	5	0.5	12	5	0	0	0	0	0
Workload/Difficulty				R A T I N G S			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
				Mn.	Md.	SD	Too Difficult (+2)	Difficult (+1)	Moderate (0)	Elementary (-1)	Too Elementary (-2)	N/A	U/A
For me, the course was:				-0.5	0	1.0	1	0	9	4	3	0	0
I felt that the workload in the course was:				0.1	0	0.7	1	2	12	2	0	0	0
I felt that the course pace was:				0.6	1	0.7	1	8	6	1	0	0	0

INSTRUCTOR SUPPLIED ITEMS:

1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.

#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(A)	(B)

Mn = Mean; Md = Median; SD = Standard Deviation
 N/A = Not Applicable; U/A = Unable to Answer



Testing, Evaluation and Research Services
Faculty Individual Report
 (Page 1 of 2)

As Of: Oct 24, 2017
 Time: 3:51:17 PM
 Report ID: SET002

College of Liberal Arts and Sciences Summer 2017

Instructor: Cadwell Shelby

Course : APX500 Call No. 34119

Students Responding: 20 out of 20

SUMMARY COURSE EVALUATION

	R A T I N G S			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
	Mn.	Md.	SD	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	N/A (A)	U/A (B)
				Great Deal	A lot	Moderate	Little	Nothing	N/A	U/A
How would you rate this course?	4.4	4	0.5	8	12	0	0	0	0	0
How much have you learned in this course?	4.2	4	0.7	6	9	3	0	0	0	0
				Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A	U/A
How would you rate the instructor's teaching in this course?	4.9	5	0.3	18	2	0	0	0	0	0
MEAN SUMMATIVE CLASS RATING	13.5		0.6							

STUDENT PROFILE

	R A T I N G S			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES				
	Mn.	Md.	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
Before enrolling I had an interest in the subject matter of this course.	3.4	3	0.9	2	6	9	3	0
I wanted to take this course.	3.2	3	1.1	3	4	9	3	1
MEAN SUMMATIVE INTEREST RATING	6.6		1.0					

	Required		Elective		
The course for me was:.....	19		1		
	< 60 %	60 - 69 %	70-79 %	80 - 89 %	90 - 100 %
I attended approximately this percentage of class meetings:.....	0	0	0	1	19
	A	B	C	D	Other
I expect a final grade in this course of :.....	18	1	0	0	1

Mn = Mean; Md = Median; SD = Standard Deviation
 N/A = Not Applicable; U/A = Unable to Answer



Testing, Evaluation and Research Services
Faculty Individual Report
 (Page 2 of 2)

As Of: Oct 24, 2017
 Time: 3:51:17 PM
 Report ID: SET002

Instructor: Cadwell Shelby

Course : APX500 Call No. 34119

Students Responding: 20 out of 20

INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK-DIAGNOSTICS	R A T I N G S			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
	Mn.	Md.	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	N/A (A)	U/A (B)
Organization/Clarity										
To me, The instructor was clear and understandable in speaking and in written materials.	5.0	5	0.2	19	1	0	0	0	0	0
Class sessions helped me understand the course content.	4.8	5	0.4	17	3	0	0	0	0	0
I felt that the course was well organized.	5.0	5	0.0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
Instructor Enthusiasm										
To me, the instructor seemed enthusiastic about the subject.	4.8	5	0.4	17	3	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor encouraged me to do high quality work in this course.	4.8	5	0.4	16	3	0	0	0	0	0
Group Interaction										
I felt free to ask questions in this course.	5.0	5	0.2	19	1	0	0	0	0	0
I felt encouraged to be an active participants.	4.8	5	0.4	16	4	0	0	0	0	0
Individual Rapport										
The instructor was available to me electronically or in person.	4.9	5	0.3	18	2	0	0	0	0	0
I felt that the instructor treated all students in the class with respect.	5.0	5	0.2	18	1	0	0	0	0	0
Breadth of Coverage										
I felt the instructor had good knowledge of the course content.	4.9	5	0.3	18	2	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor discussed differing views about the material appropriate to the content.	4.8	5	0.4	16	4	0	0	0	0	0
Examinations/Grading										
Class expectations, requirements, and grading were explained in the syllabus and in class near the beginning of the course.	5.0	5	0.2	19	1	0	0	0	0	0
I felt that evaluation and grading were fair.	4.9	5	0.3	18	2	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor provided feedback on my performance within the time frame noted in the syllabus.	5.0	5	0.2	19	1	0	0	0	0	0
The instructor's feedback on my work was helpful.	5.0	5	0.2	19	1	0	0	0	0	0
Assignments/Readings										
Course assignments (e.g., reading or those activities) contributed to my learning in this class.	4.8	5	0.4	15	5	0	0	0	0	0
Workload/Difficulty										
	R A T I N G S			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
	Mn.	Md.	SD	Too Difficult (+2)	Difficult (+1)	Moderate (0)	Elementary (-1)	Too Elementary (-2)	N/A	U/A
For me, the course was:	-0.3	0	0.8	0	2	12	4	2	0	0
I felt that the workload in the course was:	0.1	0	0.6	0	4	13	3	0	0	0
I felt that the course pace was:	0.7	1	0.6	1	11	8	0	0	0	0

INSTRUCTOR SUPPLIED ITEMS:	#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(A)	(B)
1.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							

Mn = Mean; Md = Median; SD = Standard Deviation
 N/A = Not Applicable; U/A = Unable to Answer